



NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN CONSULTATION EVENT LUBENHAM

Monday 24th September 2012

Consultation Results



Flooding	
Total Comments	6
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper mapping of flooded areas • Map displayed is not accurate • Need accurate details of flood place in the village and Market Harborough 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there sufficient safeguards from Airfield Development? • Flooding recorded/ near Arc Centre on Gypsy Horse field • Want 100 yr models and 25 yr 	

Renewable Energy	
Total Comments	9
Against	For
Purple Group	Purple Group
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No community or other wind turbines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P.V. Panels for big village buildings (Hall, Church, School) • Renewable energy not required – <u>Is Required</u> • Community wind turbine farm would be good and provide the village with a substantial income.
Blue Group	Blue Group
None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heat recovery • Solar panels on new housing • Compulsory on industrial • Retro fit insulation on old housing • District heating schemes • Basically Energy Saving Future Proof

Traffic and Transport	
Total Comments	14
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extra traffic in Laughton Road, needs slowing down due to walkers, horses etc. • Main road through Lubenham needs a crossing • Volume of traffic – Bypass needed • Link road to be encouraged • Adoption of Gartree roads 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address the Field Road rat run • No return to divided dormitory village. When A14 built because community will lose this. • Roundabouts 200 yards either side of village • Speed limits extended ½ mile each side of village – needs policing • Parish Council can raise funds from fines. • Village bypass • By pass • Better bus service - evening and Sundays • Proper pedestrian crossing 	

Green Wedges	
Total Comments	19
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I agree the Core Strategy 'A.B.C.D areas of separation are considered minimal • Core areas of separation are regarded as minimal • Protect and expand green areas • Distinct area of separation • Existing areas of recreation to be protected • Avoid further / excessive infill • Gartree to be considered and included. • Keep village character • If houses are must, let's have a full spectrum 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separation of village settlement from Airfield Farm written in to stop encroachment. • Define minimum distance of wedge 	

- Token strip on East of village
- Between here and Harborough – Concerns at fields being used for Gypsy horses to deter use of footpath
- Between here and Harborough – Make it a sizeable wedge, not a sliver
- Green Wedge between here and Harborough – Concern at commitment by council to real wedge not tokenism
- Green space - now protect them
- Green belt round village
- Ratio of green space to houses/new houses to be stated
- Protect existing conservation area

Biodiversity	
Total Comments	5
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green Spaces and therefore Biodiversity could be greatly improved. We have limited Green Spaces-the river bank, Undle area, the railway bank and all areas where wildlife is at its best. These areas should be preserved • Arboretum/orchard to be planted • Trees 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the landscape that characterises the village is protected • Protect wildlife habitats especially nesting sites 	

Footpaths & Bridleways	
Total Comments	8
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface repair • Keep existing paths – no more diversions • Parish boundary footpath • Foot/cycle path to Bramfield • Gate maintenance 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Footpath/cycle path from village to Airfield Farm? • Footpaths/Cycle Paths to Gartree–Bramfield–Lubenham to encourage integration with village (Lubenham) • Footpath/Cycle Path to Foxton via Undle 	

Facilities and Services	
Total Comments	21
Purple Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Something for teenagers (Skate Park??) • Community run shop/post office • More allotments larger size per plot • Play area for Gartree • Employment for local people • Encouragement for shop/industry to serve the community (small units) 	
Blue Group	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Missing allotments Foxton Road • Small office for home workers • No time for discussion, leisure and community needs, school and recreation. • What happens to 11+ when they move from 'new' and existing schools. Airfield Farm will not have enough children to replace school primary age. • Home working – size of homes - renting offices • Employers need Broadband • How big should village go in 25 years? – shop – pub – post office • Continuing care retirement communities – employment opportunities • Affordable housing – Young People – Retirement • Housing development needs for ageing population • Sport? Sufficient in Market Harborough already? • Sport – temporary buildings • Sewage Bramfield and Old Hall Lane concerns of inadequacy • Sewage odour from East? (Bramfield?) Severn Trent to deliver • Sewage system needs to be updated 	

Responses from Questionnaires distributed at event

Housing Need	
Total Comments	12
<p>Considering local needs and current availability, what TYPE, SIZE & TENURE of housing should be prioritised within any future development in the local area?</p>	
TYPE:	
Retirement Homes	88.9%
Starter Homes	77.8%

Affordable Homes (Rental / Shared Ownership)	55.6%
Family Homes	44.0%
Other	22.2%
Flats / Maisonettes	00.0%
Mobile Homes	0.0%

If 'Other' Please Specify:

- One and Two bedroom bungalows
- A range of all types with emphasis on Owner occupied, private rented, social rented & shared ownership.
- One of my concerns is the inflexibility of housing, with clever design couldn't houses be designed to meet more than one category e.g. starter homes and retirement homes.

SIZE:	2 Bedrooms	100.0%
	3 Bedrooms	50.0%
	1 Bedroom	12.5%
	4+ Bedrooms	00.0%

TENURE:	Owner Occupied	100.0%
	Social Rented (Housing Association)	44.4%
	Shared Ownership	55.6%
	Private Rented	22.2%

Do you know of anyone who has had to leave Lubenham, has been prevented from moving to Lubenham, or has been unable to move to alternative accommodation within Lubenham over the last 5 years through lack of suitable housing that they could afford? (Tick one box)

Yes: 55.6% No: 44.4%

If 'YES' Please Give Details

- Families in Tower Court looking for individual style properties
- People wishing to live in Lubenham and leave local villages
- Friends married and have to move away to get accommodation and my son.
- Parents aged 85 and 82 we are looking for a retirement bungalow.
- A lady in her 50's who would have liked to move to somewhere smaller and more modern but she could not find anything suitable

Might you, a family member, or anyone else that you know, be looking for alternative accommodation within Lubenham over the next 5 years? (tick one box)

Yes: 88.9% No: 11.1% Don't Know: 0.0%

IF YES, what type of accommodation would be required? (tick one box from each row)

Occupancy:	FAMILY	16.7%
	COUPLE	50.0%
	SINGLE PERSON	50.0%
House Type:	BUNGALOW	83.3%
	HOUSE	33.3%
	FLAT MAISONETTE	00.0%
	MOBILE HOME	00.0%
Size:	2 BEDROOMS	87.5%
	3 BEDROOMS	25.0%
	4+BEDROOMS	00.0%
	1 BEDROOM	00.0%
Tenure:	OWNER OCCUPIED	87.5%
	SOCIAL RENTED (HOUSING ASSOCIATION)	12.5%
	SHARED OWNERSHIP	00.0%
	PRIVATE RENTED	12.5%

PLEASE DETAIL ANY OTHER SPECIFIC HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

- More retired housing required and more starter homes for youngsters
- Energy efficient homes
- Unique designs

Please use the space below to provide any other comments that you have regarding Housing Need in Lubenham:

- We do not want estates of executive housing.

Employment & Business Development

Total Comments **7**

Are there any other sites that you consider suitable for Employment/Business development?

Yes 80.0% No 20.0%

IF YES, please give details:

- Foxtan Road Units?
- Home Farm
- Here I must admit that I have a vested interest. As a business owner with a large site in the Parish it is likely we will develop further in the future.
- Farm buildings Old Hall Lane
- Gartree redundant buildings

With regards to the future development of Employment/Business facilities within the Parish, what priority would you give to the following?

	<i>High</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Don't Know</i>
Protection of existing employment/business sites from use for other types of development (e.g. housing)	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Re-development or expansion of existing employment/business sites within the parish	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Development of additional dedicated employment/business/retail sites separate to existing facilities.	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%
Provision of subtle employment/business/retail development mixed with any future housing developments.	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%
Provision of adequate off-street parking/alternative ways for employees to travel to work within the parish.	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Clear road/pedestrian signage to employment/business/retail facilities	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%

Considering local needs and availability, what priority would you give to the following types of Employment/Business development?

	<i>High</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Don't Know</i>
Office premises' for small to medium size businesses	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Large office	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

premises'				
Small to medium sized retail space	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Large retail units or Supermarket	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Small to medium sized industrial units or warehousing	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%
Large industrial units or warehousing	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Please use the space below to add any additional comments you have regarding employment, business and retail facilities in the parish

- Market Harborough's economy is built on many small businesses and this is likely to continue and is a good model to foster because it has greater stability in times of recession. The working environment in 2030 will also be very different from now with more people working from home at least part of the time and an innovative approach to a community hub that makes this experience more positive, social and enjoyable could be a great thing.

Broadband in Lubenham Parish	
Total Comments	15
How important is a fast Broadband speed to you?	
Extremely Important	40.0%
Very Important	40.0%
Quite important	20.0%
Not at all important	0.0%
Not very important	0.0%
What is the minimum broadband speed that you consider should be provided in your Parish?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As fast as possible • Don't know • My broadband speed seems adequate for my limited needs • Fast • Currently, as speeds keep improving 40MB download 8MB upload 	

What is the minimum Broadband speed that you believe should be provided to any newly built properties in your Parish?

- As fast as possible
- I use it, do not need to know how it works
- Fast
- Currently, as speeds keep improving 40MB download 8MB upload

What do you want to use the internet for?

- Business and private use
- Information gathering, communication and banking
- What a nosey question!
- For work, for research, for recreation, for shopping, for emails which are a major part of work and business management

Please add any other comments that you have in relation to internet connections and Broadband speeds

- A reliable internet connection is greatly affected by the amount of users on line at any one time. Therefore for any improvements to be sustainable a long term view of the amount of properties likely to need that connection and the amount of connections per household needs to be understood and taken.
- Poor connection speeds at Gartree.

Unclassified comments – not clear to which strand they relate

- Airfield Farm cohesive block
- Project and work back.